ZERO DRAG

The Prerequisite Problem

Why Advanced Wellness Practices Fail — and What Must Come First

A Theoretical Foundation for the Zero Drag Protocol

Theoretical Foundation v1.0 · March 2026

Polyvagal Theory · Shame Research · Affect Neuroscience · EFT Evidence Base

Abstract

A significant and underexplored problem sits at the heart of the modern wellness industry: advanced transformational practices — meditation, visualisation, somatic healing, and consciousness-expansion methodologies — consistently fail to produce the promised results for a large proportion of users. The standard explanations are insufficient commitment, the wrong method, or individual inadequacy. This paper argues that the real explanation is structural: these practices implicitly require users to be operating above a functional emotional threshold. Below that threshold, unresolved lower-order emotional states — principally shame, guilt, apathy, grief, fear, desire, and anger — generate sufficient psychological interference to prevent higher-order practices from landing.

Zero Drag is a structured emotional clearing protocol designed to address this problem precisely. It uses Emotional Freedom Techniques (EFT) as its primary clearing mechanism to systematically reduce emotional interference state by state, in a clinically grounded sequence, until the user's system is functionally available for the kind of work that advanced practices require.

This paper establishes the theoretical and empirical foundation for Zero Drag. It maps each emotional level in the protocol to credible psychological and neuroscientific frameworks, explains the clinical rationale for the sequencing, establishes EFT as a well-evidenced clearing mechanism, and positions Zero Drag explicitly as prerequisite infrastructure — not a competitor to advanced practices, but the clearing process that makes those practices accessible.

A note on this document

This paper sets out the theoretical and strategic foundation for the Zero Drag protocol. It establishes the conceptual framework, the rationale for the sequencing, and the evidence base for Emotional Freedom Techniques as the primary clearing mechanism. It does not report outcome data. Empirical validation of the protocol is to be established through the Zero Drag soft-launch outcomes framework, described in Section 6. The thresholds defined there will determine the extent to which the theoretical argument is borne out in practice.

1. The Prerequisite Problem

1.1 The Wellness Paradox

The self-improvement and wellness industry generates over $6 trillion annually and continues to grow. It encompasses meditation apps, breathwork programmes, consciousness seminars, somatic healing modalities, neuroscience-informed performance coaching, and spiritual development frameworks of significant sophistication. Many of these programmes contain genuine insight, credible methodology, and documented outcomes for some users.

And yet the majority of people who engage with these programmes do not achieve the sustained results they seek. Completion rates for online courses and programmes are consistently below 15%. Users of meditation apps typically abandon them within two weeks. Participants in intensive consciousness seminars frequently report initial breakthrough experiences followed by reversion to previous patterns within weeks or months. The cycle of high-hope engagement followed by frustrated abandonment is one of the most consistent patterns in consumer wellness behaviour.

The industry's typical response to this failure rate is to locate the problem in the user: insufficient discipline, wrong mindset, resistance to change, or not yet ready. This response is both empirically unsatisfying and commercially self-serving. It preserves the product while pathologising the consumer. This paper proposes a different explanation.

1.2 The Threshold Hypothesis

Advanced transformational practices — brain-coherence and heart-coherence methodologies, presence-based awareness frameworks, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, somatic experiencing — share a common implicit assumption: that the user's nervous system is sufficiently regulated, and their emotional field sufficiently cleared, to receive and integrate the practice.

This assumption is rarely stated, but it is structurally embedded in how these programmes work. Brain-coherence meditations require the capacity to hold sustained attention in a non-reactive state. Presence-based awareness work requires the ability to observe thought without being consumed by it. ACT requires what Hayes calls psychological flexibility — the ability to contact the present moment without rigid defence. Somatic experiencing requires a regulated nervous system that can titrate between activation and settling.

All of these capacities depend on something prior: a nervous system that is not chronically hijacked by unresolved emotional material. A person carrying significant unprocessed shame cannot sustain meditative stillness — the shame itself interrupts the state. A person in chronic fear cannot observe thought with the detachment these practices require — the fear is the thought. A person in learned helplessness cannot engage values-clarification work with any real agency — the apathy has neutralised their sense that values are actionable.

> **THE THRESHOLD HYPOTHESIS**
>
> **Advanced wellness practices do not fail because they are ineffective. They fail because they are being applied below the emotional threshold at which they can work. The prerequisite condition — a nervous system with sufficient regulation and an emotional field with sufficient clearance — is assumed but not created. Zero Drag exists to create it.**

1.3 Why This Has Not Been Addressed

The absence of prerequisite infrastructure in the wellness market is not accidental. It reflects several converging factors.

First, advanced practice providers have a commercial incentive to present their method as universally accessible. Acknowledging that their work requires a pre-cleared emotional system would both narrow their market and imply that the work of clearing must happen elsewhere first — an uncomfortable admission for any programme charging premium prices.

Second, the emotional clearing field — primarily EFT, EMDR, and somatic modalities — has historically positioned itself as therapeutic rather than preparatory. It addresses trauma and psychological distress as endpoints, not as preconditions for something else. This framing, while valid, leaves the preparatory role unfilled.

Third, there has been no structured, accessible, self-guided protocol that specifically addresses the emotional interference states in sequence and positions itself explicitly as preparation for advanced work. This is the gap Zero Drag occupies.

2. The Emotional Interference Architecture

2.1 A Framework for Sequencing

Zero Drag uses a sequenced progression through ten emotional states, from the most deeply suppressive to a functional baseline of grounded readiness. The sequence is not arbitrary. It reflects the clinical and neurobiological logic of how these states interact, how they are typically layered within the human system, and the order in which they must be addressed for clearing to be stable rather than superficial.

The sequence draws on multiple converging frameworks: the developmental psychology of shame and affect; the neuroscience of threat response and polyvagal theory; the clinical literature on emotional processing; and the phenomenology of what practitioners consistently observe in clients as they move through these states.

Level	State	Character
1	Shame	Foundation state — most suppressive
2	Guilt	Action-oriented self-punishment
3	Apathy	Learned helplessness / shutdown
4	Grief	Attachment disruption / loss
5	Fear	Threat vigilance / hyperactivation
6	Desire	Compulsive craving / incentive salience
7	Anger	Frustration-aggression / resentment
8	Pride	Defensive ego-inflation
9	**Courage**	**Threshold state — first stable ground**
10	**Neutrality**	**Functional baseline — receptive readiness**

Below Courage, states are characterised by contraction, avoidance, defence, or collapse. Above Courage, states become increasingly characterised by openness, agency, and receptivity. The level of Courage is the first point at which a person has sufficient internal stability to genuinely benefit from the kind of advanced work that higher-level programmes offer.

2.2 The Neurobiological Basis for the Sequence

The sequencing of Zero Drag reflects the architecture of the autonomic nervous system as described by Stephen Porges in Polyvagal Theory (1994, 2011). Porges identifies three primary neurobiological states, each corresponding to a different class of behavioural response.

ANS State	Response Pattern	Zero Drag Levels
Dorsal Vagal	Shutdown, collapse, dissociation — the organism conserves resources in face of overwhelming threat. Volition is physiologically suppressed.	Shame, Apathy, deep Grief
Sympathetic	Mobilisation: fight, flight, hypervigilance. Energy available but not regulated; attention narrowed; threat detection overrides reflection.	Fear, Desire, Anger
Ventral Vagal	Social engagement, curiosity, connection. The nervous system is regulated, open, and capable of sustained attention and emotional flexibility.	Courage, Neutrality

NEUROBIOLOGICAL LOGIC OF THE SEQUENCE

The Zero Drag sequence moves from dorsal vagal (shutdown: shame, apathy, grief) through sympathetic (mobilisation: fear, desire, anger) to ventral vagal (regulation: courage, neutrality). This is not a metaphorical progression — it mirrors the neurobiological architecture of autonomic state transitions. You cannot move from shutdown to regulation by bypassing mobilisation. Each state must be addressed in order.

2.3 The Role of Shame as the Foundation State

Shame is addressed first in the Zero Drag sequence because it is the most foundationally suppressive state in the human emotional system. This is not a novel clinical claim — it is one of the most consistent findings in developmental psychology and affect theory.

June Price Tangney's research on shame and guilt (1990–2002) established a critical distinction that is central to the Zero Drag framework. Guilt is the experience of having done something wrong — it is action-oriented and frequently motivating. Shame is the experience of being fundamentally wrong — it attacks identity rather than behaviour. This distinction matters clinically because shame, unlike guilt, does not motivate repair. It motivates concealment, withdrawal, and self-elimination.

Brené Brown's subsequent research (2006–2012) demonstrated that shame is the most significant predictor of a range of destructive behaviours and psychological difficulties, and that its primary mechanism is the suppression of vulnerability — the very capacity that advanced transformational work requires. You cannot open to higher states while simultaneously believing, at a pre-verbal level, that you are fundamentally defective.

Allan Schore's neuroscience of shame (1994, 2003) established that shame is encoded in the right hemisphere, stored pre-verbally, and organised around the experience of misattunement in early relational systems. This means it cannot be resolved through cognitive insight alone — the mechanism that most self-help programmes rely on. It requires somatic, relational, and experiential processing. EFT, as a somatic intervention, addresses this directly.

The clinical implication is clear: addressing shame first is not a sequencing preference — it is a structural necessity. Shame is the root state. Every other interference state draws energy from it. Clearing shame does not automatically resolve the states above it, but failing to address shame makes clearing the states above it substantially harder.

2.4 Level-by-Level Framework

Psychological and neurobiological grounding for each level of the protocol:

No.	State	Psychological Framework	Neurobiological Correlate	Primary Target
1	Shame	Tangney (shame/guilt distinction); Schore (right-hemisphere encoding); Brown (vulnerability suppression)	Dorsal vagal collapse; HPA axis dysregulation; freeze/submit response	Core identity beliefs; "I am wrong" cognitions; somatic freeze
2	Guilt	Tangney (reparative vs. destructive guilt); Beck (cognitive distortions); self-punishment schemas	Sympathetic activation with inhibition; rumination networks (DMN overactivity)	Self-punishment loops; unconscious self-sabotage
3	Apathy	Seligman (learned helplessness); Maier & Watkins (controllability research)	Dorsal vagal shutdown; blunted dopaminergic response; HPA suppression	Agency beliefs; motivation circuits; "I can't" vs "I won't"
4	Grief	Bowlby (attachment disruption); Kübler-Ross; Worden (tasks of mourning)	Prolonged cortisol elevation; amygdala hyperreactivity; disrupted sleep	Loss memories; mourning loops; anticipatory grief
5	Fear	LeDoux (amygdala fear circuitry); Barlow (anxiety models); Beck (threat appraisal)	Sympathetic hyperactivation; amygdala-PFC dysregulation; elevated cortisol	Threat memories; catastrophic cognitions; hypervigilance loops
6	Desire	Berridge (wanting vs. liking); Robinson & Berridge (incentive salience)	Dopaminergic dysregulation; nucleus accumbens overactivation	Craving triggers; scarcity beliefs; compulsive pursuit patterns
7	Anger	Berkowitz (frustration-aggression); Linehan (DBT emotion regulation)	Sympathetic hyperactivation; amygdala-driven PFC suppression	Injustice narratives; resentment loops; explosive discharge
8	Pride	Kohut (narcissistic defence); Tangney (hubristic pride)	Threat-to-status activation; cortisol response to ego challenge	Superiority defences; humiliation sensitivity; rigidity
9	**Courage**	Bandura (self-efficacy); Hayes (ACT flexibility); Dweck (growth mindset)	Ventral vagal engagement; PFC-amygdala regulatory coherence	Avoidance patterns; self-efficacy deficits; courage as embodied capacity
10	**Neutrality**	Hayes (ACT defusion); Kabat-Zinn (non-judgmental awareness); Linehan	Ventral vagal stability; heart rate variability coherence	Over-investment in outcomes; identity fusion; reactivity to uncertainty

3. EFT as the Clearing Mechanism

3.1 What EFT Is

Emotional Freedom Techniques (EFT), commonly called "tapping," was developed by Gary Craig in the mid-1990s, building on the earlier Thought Field Therapy (TFT) work of Roger Callahan. The protocol involves stimulating specific acupressure points on the face and body while simultaneously maintaining cognitive focus on a specific emotional target: a memory, a belief, a sensation, or a current stressor.

The clinical mechanism operates at the intersection of two well-established processes: somatic regulation and exposure-based processing. The act of tapping specific acupoints appears to reduce amygdala activation, signalling safety to the threat-detection system while the emotional target is held in awareness. This disrupts the conditioned association between the trigger and the stress response — the mechanism that maintains chronic emotional interference states.

Unlike purely cognitive approaches, EFT works at a subcortical level. This is clinically significant: shame, early grief, and chronic fear are encoded in the right hemisphere, in pre-verbal and somatic memory systems that are not accessible through cognitive reframing alone. EFT's somatic component reaches these systems directly.

3.2 The Evidence Base

EFT has accumulated a substantial and growing body of peer-reviewed evidence over the past two decades. The following represents the current state of the research.

Randomised Controlled Trials

- **Church et al. (2013)** — RCT with 59 veterans diagnosed with PTSD. The EFT group showed a 64% reduction in PTSD symptoms compared to controls, with gains maintained at 6-month follow-up. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease.*
- **Sebastian and Nelms (2017)** — Meta-analysis of 7 RCTs on EFT for depression, finding a large, statistically significant, and durable effect size (d = 1.31). *Explore: The Journal of Science and Healing.*
- **Clond (2016)** — Systematic review and meta-analysis of 14 studies on EFT for anxiety disorders, finding a large mean effect size (d = 1.23) that exceeded the effects of both CBT and EMDR in the reviewed literature. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease.*

Neurobiological Evidence

- **Feinstein (2012)** — Reviewed emerging neurobiological evidence for EFT, noting that stimulation of acupoints has been shown via fMRI to reduce activation in the amygdala, hippocampus, and other subcortical threat-detection structures.

- **Church et al. (2012)** — Demonstrated that a single EFT session produced a 24% reduction in cortisol levels, compared to 14% in a supportive talk therapy control and 0% in a no-treatment group. Biological confirmation of measurable neurochemical change.
- **Swingle, Pulos, and Swingle (2004)** — Demonstrated normalisation of brain-wave patterns (QEEG) following EFT treatment for PTSD, with changes maintained at 18-month follow-up.

3.3 Why EFT Specifically

01

Self-administered

Unlike EMDR or somatic experiencing, EFT can be learned and applied independently — essential for a self-guided programme accessible without clinical oversight.

02

Rapid

Clinical EFT sessions show measurable intensity reduction within minutes. Users directly observe the shift from higher to lower emotional intensity, producing immediate feedback that supports programme completion.

03

Dual-level engagement

The setup statement engages cognitive acknowledgement; the tapping engages somatic regulation. This is precisely what is required for states encoded in both explicit memory (narrative) and implicit memory (body, sensation, reflex).

04

Established safety profile

Church and Feinstein (2017) concluded that serious adverse events were extremely rare and not related to the protocol itself — appropriate for functional adults experiencing emotional interference.

THE SPECIFICITY PRINCIPLE

The Zero Drag protocol uses Gold Standard EFT with the Global-to-Specific-to-Global structure: beginning with the general emotional field, moving to a specific personal event or memory, clearing that event to zero or near-zero intensity, then consolidating with the global field again. This is the most clinically effective application of EFT and produces more durable results than global tapping alone.

4. The Sequencing Rationale

4.1 Why Order Matters

The sequencing of Zero Drag is one of its most important clinical decisions. The order in which emotional states are addressed is not arbitrary — it reflects both the neurobiological architecture of how these states interact and the clinical observation of what happens when clearing is attempted out of sequence.

The most common error in both self-guided and clinically guided emotional work is attempting to address higher-level states — fear, anger, grief — before the foundational states of shame and apathy have been sufficiently reduced. This produces a predictable pattern: partial clearing followed by reactivation. The person makes progress on their fear, only to find it resurfacing within days or weeks. The reason is that unaddressed shame continuously regenerates the fear — shame produces a pervasive sense of being fundamentally unsafe, and the threat-vigilant system responds to this with fear. Clearing fear without addressing its shame substrate is analogous to treating a symptom while leaving its cause in place.

4.2 The Layering Model

A useful clinical model for understanding the Zero Drag sequence is what Peter Levine calls "titration" in Somatic Experiencing — the principle that trauma and emotional charge should be approached in small, manageable doses rather than confronted directly in full intensity. Zero Drag applies this principle at the programme level: each level of clearing prepares the system for the next.

Shame and apathy occupy the dorsal vagal register — the deepest layer. Clearing them does not require heroic confrontation; it requires contact, acknowledgement, and gradual mobilisation of the frozen system. As the dorsal vagal layer clears, the sympathetic layer becomes more accessible. Fear, desire, and anger can be addressed directly once the system has sufficient regulation to move through activation without collapsing back into shutdown.

The transition through Pride — the eighth level — is clinically significant. Pride, in this context, is the ego's defensive inflation — the compensatory structure that many people have built atop unresolved shame. Dismantling it is often experienced as uncomfortable, which is why it is addressed late in the sequence, when the system has sufficient resources to tolerate the deflation without collapse.

4.3 The Prerequisite Gap in Practice

A concrete example of the prerequisite problem is found in brain-coherence and heart-coherence methodologies — a class of practice involving extended meditative states, elevated emotional states such as gratitude and joy, and intentional neurological entrainment. These approaches are grounded in legitimate neuroscience and have produced documented results for a subset of users.

However, they implicitly require users to be able to: sustain focused attention for extended periods without disruption by intrusive thought; generate elevated emotional states volitionally; and maintain a sense of personal agency and worthiness sufficient to believe that neurological change is possible for them.

A person carrying significant shame cannot sustain meditative attention — shame continuously generates self-referential intrusive thought. A person in learned helplessness cannot generate gratitude volitionally — the apathy has neutralised the felt sense that anything good is deserved or accessible. A person in chronic fear cannot hold the open, receptive state these practices require.

None of this reflects a flaw in the methodologies themselves. It reflects the absence of prerequisite clearing. Zero Drag addresses levels 1 through 8 specifically so that advanced practices can work as intended from level 9 onwards. The same logic applies across the field — presence-based awareness work, consciousness-expansion frameworks, somatic growth practices. The threshold problem is structural, not methodological.

> **THE POSITIONING PRINCIPLE**
>
> **Zero Drag does not compete with advanced wellness practices. It creates the conditions under which they can work. This positioning is both intellectually honest and commercially strong: Zero Drag users who complete the protocol are the ideal audience for the advanced programmes they previously could not benefit from. Zero Drag is the on-ramp, not the destination.**

5. Clinical Safety and Scope

5.1 What Zero Drag Is Not

Clarity about the scope and boundaries of Zero Drag is both an ethical requirement and a commercial necessity. The following distinctions are maintained throughout the protocol and all associated communications.

- **Not psychotherapy.** Zero Drag does not provide clinical assessment, diagnosis, or treatment of mental health conditions. It does not constitute a therapeutic relationship between the user and any clinician.
- **Not appropriate for acute presentations.** Users currently experiencing acute mental health crises, active suicidal ideation, severe trauma symptoms requiring clinical stabilisation, or psychotic presentations are directed to appropriate professional support.
- **No clinical outcome claims.** Zero Drag does not claim to cure, treat, or resolve any clinical condition. Its outcome claims are limited to what the research base supports: reduction in emotional intensity, improvement in subjective clarity and agency, and reduction in avoidance behaviour.

5.2 The Window of Tolerance

Siegel's concept of the window of tolerance — the optimal zone of arousal within which emotional processing is productive — is central to the Zero Drag safety model. Processing below the window produces dorsal vagal collapse (dissociation, numbness, shutdown). Processing above the window produces sympathetic overwhelm (panic, re-traumatisation, flooding).

The Zero Drag protocol is designed to maintain users within their window of tolerance throughout each session. This is achieved through: the gradual, titrated approach to emotional material (Global-to-Specific-to-Global); the pacing of the audio guidance, which builds in natural regulation breaks; the use of before-and-after intensity ratings that provide real-time feedback on the user's state; and explicit guidance to slow down, pause, or stop if intensity exceeds manageable levels.

5.3 Contra-indications

The following presentations are explicit contra-indications for self-guided use, communicated clearly to users during onboarding:

- Current acute suicidal ideation or active self-harm
- Diagnosis of psychosis, bipolar disorder (acute phase), or severe dissociative disorder
- Current substance dependence requiring clinical management
- Recent trauma (within 3 months) that has not yet had any professional support

- Any presentation where the user's GP or mental health professional has advised against self-guided emotional work

6. Outcomes Framework

6.1 What We Measure

Primary Outcome	**Emotional Intensity Reduction**	Users rate emotional intensity before and after each clearing session on a 0–10 Subjective Units of Distress (SUD) scale. Pre-post reduction within a session is the most immediate and interpretable outcome. Across-session reduction demonstrates a cumulative effect.
Secondary Outcome	**Functional Behaviour Change**	Upon Level 1 completion, users complete a brief assessment covering: self-reported reduction in avoidance; changes in action-taking on previously avoided tasks; perceived increase in clarity or agency; and willingness to engage with challenging material.
Programme Level	**Completion Rate**	Completion rate at each level is both a product metric and an outcome proxy. High completion rates indicate that the protocol is calibrated correctly to the window of tolerance — challenging enough to produce change, yet contained enough not to overwhelm.

6.2 Evidence Thresholds

For the purposes of early-stage evidence gathering, the following thresholds are considered meaningful for n ≥ 30:

Metric	Threshold	Significance
Within-session SUD reduction	**≥ 3 points average**	Demonstrates acute clearing effect
Across-session SUD reduction (Day 1 pre → Day 3 post)	**≥ 4 points average**	Demonstrates cumulative and durable effect
Level 1 completion rate	**≥ 50%**	Calibration to window of tolerance confirmed
Functional behaviour change (completers)	**≥ 60% report ≥ 1 change**	Real-world transfer of clearing effect

7. Conclusion

The wellness industry is not failing its users because its practices are wrong. It is failing them because it has assumed a precondition it has not created. The emotional interference states that prevent advanced practices from working — shame, guilt, apathy, grief, fear, desire, anger, and defensive pride — are not character flaws. They are neurobiologically encoded patterns that require specific, somatic, sequenced intervention to clear.

Zero Drag provides that intervention. It uses EFT — a well-evidenced, rapidly acting, self-administrable somatic technique — to address each interference state in a clinically grounded sequence, from the most suppressive to the threshold of functional readiness. It does not compete with advanced wellness practices. It creates the conditions under which they can work.

The theoretical foundation presented here is not dependent on any single framework. It draws on polyvagal theory, developmental psychology, affect neuroscience, shame research, learning theory, and the EFT evidence base to produce a coherent, multi-source argument for why this protocol, in this sequence, using this mechanism, is the appropriate response to the prerequisite problem.

What remains to be done is measurement. The soft launch of Zero Drag Level 1 is the first systematic test of the protocol with real users at scale. The outcomes framework established in Section 6 will determine whether the theoretical argument holds in practice. If it does, Zero Drag has both an intellectual foundation and an empirical one.

Either way, the prerequisite problem is real. The gap is real. The question is only whether this protocol fills it as effectively as the theory suggests it should.

Key References

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191–215.

Berkowitz, L. (1989). Frustration-aggression hypothesis: Examination and reformulation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 106(1), 59–73.

Berridge, K.C., & Robinson, T.E. (1998). What is the role of dopamine in reward: Hedonic impact, reward learning, or incentive salience? *Brain Research Reviews*, 28(3), 309–369.

Bowlby, J. (1969–1980). *Attachment and Loss* (3 vols.). Basic Books.

Brown, B. (2006). Shame resilience theory. *Families in Society*, 87(1), 43–52.

Church, D., Hawk, C., Brooks, A.J., et al. (2013). Psychological trauma symptom improvement in veterans using EFT. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 201(2), 153–160.

Church, D., Yount, G., & Brooks, A.J. (2012). The effect of EFT on stress biochemistry. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 200(10), 891–896.

Clond, M. (2016). Emotional Freedom Techniques for anxiety: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 204(5), 388–395.

Dweck, C.S. (2006). *Mindset: The New Psychology of Success*. Random House.

Feinstein, D. (2012). Acupoint stimulation in treating psychological disorders. *Review of General Psychology*, 16(4), 364–380.

Hayes, S.C., Strosahl, K.D., & Wilson, K.G. (1999). *Acceptance and Commitment Therapy*. Guilford Press.

Hui, K.K.S., et al. (2000). Acupuncture modulates the limbic system and subcortical grey structures. *Human Brain Mapping*, 9(1), 13–25.

Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). *Full Catastrophe Living*. Delacorte Press.

Kohut, H. (1971). *The Analysis of the Self*. International Universities Press.

LeDoux, J. (1996). *The Emotional Brain*. Simon & Schuster.

Levine, P. (2010). *In an Unspoken Voice: How the Body Releases Trauma and Restores Goodness*. North Atlantic Books.

Linehan, M.M. (1993). *Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment of Borderline Personality Disorder*. Guilford Press.

Maier, S.F., & Seligman, M.E.P. (1976). Learned helplessness: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 105(1), 3–46.

Porges, S.W. (2011). *The Polyvagal Theory: Neurophysiological Foundations of Emotions, Attachment, Communication, Self-Regulation*. W.W. Norton.

Schore, A.N. (1994). *Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self*. Lawrence Erlbaum.

Sebastian, B., & Nelms, J. (2017). The effectiveness of EFT in the treatment of depression. *Explore*, 13(1), 19–25.

Seligman, M.E.P. (1972). Learned helplessness. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 23(1), 407–412.

Siegel, D.J. (1999). *The Developing Mind*. Guilford Press.

Tangney, J.P., & Dearing, R.L. (2002). *Shame and Guilt*. Guilford Press.